# Treatment landscape of patients with HER2+ early breast cancer: an overview

#### Frederic Ivan L Ting<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Sciences, College of Medicine, University of St. La Salle, Bacolod 6100, Philippines <sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Corazon Locsin Montelibano Memorial Regional Hospital, Bacolod 6100, Philippines

#### Abstract

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy in terms of incidence and is the leading cause of cancer deaths among women worldwide. In the Philippines, 33,079 new cases of breast cancer were documented in 2020 comprising 17.5% of all new cancer diagnoses. With a rate of 27 deaths per 100,000 people, the Philippines is the frontrunner in Asia for breast cancer mortality. HER2/neu-positive breast cancer, a more aggressive subtype associated with poorer survival outcomes, is present in about 23.5%. Fortunately, the emergence of HER2-targeted therapies has considerably improved disease-free survival and overall survival. This article reviews the most recent data in the HER2+ early breast cancer space.

Keywords: breast cancer, HER2+, treatment, Philippines

#### Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy in terms of incidence and is the leading cause of cancer deaths among women worldwide [1]. In the Philippines, 33,079 new cases of breast cancer were documented in 2020 comprising 17.5% of all new cancer diagnoses [21]. It was reported that 1 out of 13 Filipino women is expected to develop breast cancer in their lifetime [24]. With a rate of 27 deaths per 100,000 people [14], the Philippines is the frontrunner in Asia for breast cancer mortality. HER2/neu-positive breast cancer, a more aggressive subtype associated with poorer survival outcomes [10], is present in about 23.5% [7]. Fortunately, the emergence of HER2-targeted therapies has considerably improved disease-free survival and overall survival [6, 17]. Thus, it is crucial that we review the most recent data in the HER2+ early breast cancer (eBC) space.

### Defining high-risk population

Patients with HER2+ eBC with a higher risk of recurrence should be treated with neoadjuvant therapy plus anti-HER2 targeted treatment. These patients are defined as having Correspondence to: Frederic Ivan L Ting Email: <u>f.ting@usls.edu.ph</u>

ecancer 2024, 18:1787 https://doi.org/10.3332/ecancer.2024.1787

Published: 10/10/2024 Received: 01/08/2024

Publication costs for this article were supported by ecancer (UK Charity number 1176307).

**Copyright:** © the authors; licensee ecancermedicalscience. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://</u> <u>creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. tumours of  $\geq 2$  cm and/or node-positive disease [18]. Furthermore, the presence of residual disease following neoadjuvant treatment has been associated with a higher risk of relapse [22] warranting escalation strategies.

#### **Neoadjuvant setting**

The addition of pertuzumab to trastuzumab-based chemotherapy has been noted to significantly increase pathologic complete response (pCR) as shown in the Neosphere and the TRYPHAENA trials. In the Neosphere trial, a 46% pCR rate was noted with docetaxel, pertuzumab and trastuzumab for 12 weeks [8]. Furthermore, the TRYPHAENA trial led to a 66% pCR rate with the docetaxel, carboplatin, trastuzumab and pertuzumab regimen for six cycles [19]. Furthermore, the ADAPT trial also showed a 90.5% pCR rate in patients with HER2-positive and hormone receptor-negative eBC with neoadjuvant trastuzumab-pertuzumab with weekly paclitaxel for 12 weeks [15].

The PEONY phase 3 randomised clinical trial confirms these findings in the Asian population where it demonstrated statistically significant improvement in the total pCR rate [20].

Since the addition of pertuzumab to trastuzumab clearly improves pCR rates in patients with HER2+ eBC with tumours  $\geq$ 2 cm and/or node-positive disease, dual anti-HER2 blockade plus chemotherapy is widely considered as the standard of care [2, 3, 5, 9].

#### Adjuvant setting

The APHINITY trial showed that the addition of pertuzumab to trastuzumab and adjuvant chemotherapy resulted in a significant improvement in the 3-year invasive disease-free survival (iDFS). It is important to note that the benefit from pertuzumab was only observed in node-positive patients (92% versus 90.2%; hazard ratio (HR) 0.81; p = 0.02) and not in node-negative patients [26]. This benefit was maintained in the 8-year analysis with a 23% decrease in iDFS (HR, 0.77; 95% CI, 0.66 to 0.91) and the absolute risk reduction of 2.6% in the intention-to-treat population [11]. The iDFS benefit of the addition of pertuzumab in HER2+ eBC is maintained, with the benefit continuing in the node-positive cohort, regardless of hormone receptor status. Thus, for patients who undergo surgery first, adjuvant chemotherapy plus trastuzumab-pertuzumab should be considered for patients with nodal involvement.

#### Adjuvant therapy for patients with residual disease post-neoadjuvant therapy

The randomised phase III KATHERINE trial showed that the replacement of trastuzumab with the antibody-drug conjugate ado-trastuzumab emtansine (T-DM1) in patients who failed to achieve a pCR after neoadjuvant chemotherapy plus anti- HER2 therapy (either single or dual HER2 blockade) demonstrated an 11% absolute improvement in iDFS (3-year iDFS 88% versus 77% in the T-DM1 and trastuzumab groups, respectively) with an HR of 0.50 (95% CI, 0.39 to 0.64; p < 0.001). Subgroup analyses showed a consistent benefit, irrespective of hormone receptor status, the extent of residual disease at surgery and prior single or dual HER2-targeted neoadjuvant therapy [25], making adjuvant T-DM1 the standard of care for patients with residual disease following neoadjuvant therapy.

For patients who achieve pCR after neoadjuvant treatment, most guidelines recommend continuing dual anti-HER2 blockade to complete 1 year in high-risk patients at baseline [2, 3, 5]. This is primarily because patients with a pCR can still experience disease relapse with tumour size and nodal status as independent prognostic factors [12].

#### Extended anti-HER2 adjuvant therapy

The phase III ExteNET trial looked at extended adjuvant therapy with neratinib, an irreversible, pan-HER TKI, after 1 year of adjuvant trastuzumab. The study showed that neratinib led to an absolute improvement in 5-year iDFS of 2.5% (90.2% versus 87.7%; HR, 0.73; p 5 0.0008), but this was mainly restricted to the hormone receptor–positive subgroup [4]. Thus, expert panels recommend consideration of the use of neratinib in patients with HER2+, hormone-receptor positive, node-positive eBC not previously treated with dual blockade while considering the benefits against its toxicities, with diarrhea being a concern among patients [3, 5].

## Future directions in the Philippines

Aside from financial toxicity, it is important to note that one barrier to the efficient delivery of cancer care in the country is the challenges surrounding the actual patient-provider session which include delays in treatment because of limited clinic or treatment slots per day [13, 23]. Fortunately, these delays can be alleviated by the availability of the subcutaneous form of pertuzumab-trastuzumab to which 85% of patients prefer over the intravenous form mainly due to reduced clinic time and comfort during administration [16].

## Conclusion

The long-term outcomes for our patients with HER2+ eBC can be significantly improved by tailoring our treatment plans based on response to neoadjuvant therapy. This paradigm shift reminds us of the importance of using a preoperative systemic approach with dual anti-HER2 blockade therapy for patients with early or locally advanced disease and shifting to adjuvant T-DM1 for patients with residual disease. Furthermore, the subcutaneous form of these anti-HER2 treatments offer a convenient and preferred option for patients.

## Acknowledgment

This article received a grant from Roche Philippines.

## **Conflicts of interest**

The author has no conflicts of interest in writing this paper.

## Funding

This work was supported by Roche Philippines but the author wrote this manuscript independently without any form of intervention or oversight of the scientific concepts from the funder.

## References

- 1. Bray F, Laversanne M, and Sung H, *et al* (2024) Global cancer statistics 2022: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries CA Cancer J Clin 74(3) 229–263 <u>https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21834</u> PMID: <u>38572751</u>
- 2. Burstein HJ, Curigliano G, and Thürlimann B, *et al* (2021) Customizing local and systemic therapies for women with early breast cancer: the St. Gallen International Consensus Guidelines for treatment of early breast cancer 2021 Ann Oncol 32(10) 1216–1235 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2021.06.023">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2021.06.023</a>
- Cardoso F, Kyriakides S, and Ohno S, et al (2021) Early breast cancer: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up† Ann Oncol 30(8) 1194–1220 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdz173">https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdz173</a>
- 4. Chan A, Moy B, and Mansi J, *et al* (2021) Final efficacy results of neratinib in HER2-positive hormone receptor-positive early-stage breast cancer from the phase III ExteNET trial *Clin Breast Cancer* 21 80–91.e7 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clbc.2020.09.014</u>
- 5. Denduluri N, Somerfield MR, and Chavez-MacGregor M, *et al* (2020) Selection of optimal adjuvant chemotherapy and targeted therapy for early breast cancer: ASCO guideline update *J Clin Oncol* **39**(6) 685–693 <u>https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.20.02510</u> PMID: <u>33079579</u>
- Eiger D, Agostinetto E, and Saúde-Conde R, et al (2021) The exciting new field of HER2-low breast cancer treatment Cancers (Basel) 13(5) 1015 <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13051015</u> PMID: <u>33804398</u> PMCID: <u>7957750</u>

- Genuino AJ, Chaikledkaew U, and Guerrero AM, et al (2019) Cost-utility analysis of adjuvant trastuzumab therapy for HER2-positive early-stage breast cancer in the Philippines BMC Health Serv Res 19(1) 874 <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-019-4715-8</u> PMID: 31752849 PMCID: 6873585
- 8. Gianni L, Pienkowski T, and Im YH, *et al* (2012) Efficacy and safety of neoadjuvant pertuzumab and trastuzumab in women with locally advanced, inflammatory, or early HER2-positive breast cancer (NeoSphere): a randomised multicentre, open-label, phase 2 trial *Lancet Oncol* **13** 25–32 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(11)70336-9
- Gradishar WJ, Moran MS, and Abraham J, et al (2023) NCCN Guidelines<sup>®</sup> insights: breast cancer, version 4.2023 J Natl Compr Canc Netw 21(6) 594–608 https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2023.0031 PMID: 37308117
- 10. Gutierrez C and Schiff R (2011) HER2: biology, detection, and clinical implications Arch Pathol Lab Med 135(1) 55–62 <a href="https://doi.org/10.5858/2010-0454-RAR.1">https://doi.org/10.5858/2010-0454-RAR.1</a> PMID: 21204711 PMCID: 3242418
- 11. Loibl S, Jassem J, and Sonnenblick A, et al (2022) VP6-2022: adjuvant pertuzumab and trastuzumab in patients with early HER-2 positive breast cancer in APHINITY: 8.4 years' follow-up Ann Oncol 33(9) 986–987 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2022.06.009
- 12. Loibl S, Untch M, and Buyse M, *et al* (2020) Pathologic complete response (pCR) and prognosis following neoadjuvant chemotherapy plus anti-HER2 therapy of HER2-positive early breast cancer (EBC) *Cancer Res* 80 P5-06-2 <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/1538-7445.</u> SABCS19-P5-06-02
- Martin MFEB and Ting FIL (2023) To chemo or not to chemo... that is the question JCO Glob Oncol 9 e2300164 <u>https://doi.org/10.1200/</u> GO.23.00164
- McDonald M, Hertz R, and Lowenthal S (2008) The Burden of Cancer in Asia [<u>https://cdn.pfizer.com/pfizer.com/products/cancer\_in\_asia.pdf</u>] Date accessed: 03/04/22
- 15. Nitz UA, Gluz O, and Christgen M, et al (2017) De-escalation strategies in HER2-positive early breast cancer (EBC): final analysis of the WSG-ADAPT HER21/HR- phase II trial: efficacy, safety, and predictive markers for 12 weeks of neoadjuvant dual blockade with trastuzumab and pertuzumab 6 weekly paclitaxel Ann Oncol 28 2768–2772 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdx494">https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdx494</a> PMID: 28945833
- O'Shaughnessy J, Sousa S, and Cruz J, et al (2021) Preference for the fixed-dose combination of pertuzumab and trastuzumab for subcutaneous injection in patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer (PHranceSCa): a randomised, open-label phase II study Eur J Cancer (Oxford, England: 1990) 152 223–232 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2021.03.047
- 17. Ogitani Y, Aida T, and Hagihara K, et al (2016) DS-8201a, a novel HER2-targeting ADC with a novel DNA topoisomerase I inhibitor, demonstrates a promising antitumor efficacy with differentiation from T-DM1 Clin Cancer Res 22(20) 5097–5108 <u>https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-2822</u> PMID: 27026201
- Pernas S and Tolaney SM (2021) Management of early-stage human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive breast cancer JCO Oncol Pract 17(6) 320–330 <u>https://doi.org/10.1200/OP.21.00020</u> PMID: <u>34111378</u>
- Schneeweiss A, Chia S, and Hickish T, et al (2013) Pertuzumab plus trastuzumab in combination with standard neoadjuvant anthracycline-containing and anthracyclinefree chemotherapy regimens in patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer: a randomized phase II cardiac safety study (TRYPHAENA) Ann Oncol 24 2278–2284 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdt182">https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdt182</a> PMID: <u>23704196</u>
- Shao Z, Pang D, and Yang H, et al (2020) Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of pertuzumab, trastuzumab, and docetaxel for patients with early or locally advanced ERBB2-positive breast cancer in Asia: the PEONY phase 3 randomized clinical trial JAMA Oncol 6(3) e193692 https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.3692
- 21. Sung H, Ferlay J, and Siegel RL, *et al* (2021) Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries CA Cancer J Clin 71(3) 209–249 https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660 PMID: 33538338

- 22. Symmans WF, Wei C, and Gould R, *et al* (2017) Long-term prognostic risk after neoadjuvant chemotherapy associated with residual cancer burden and breast cancer subtype *J Clin Oncol* **35** 1049–1060 <u>https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2015.63.1010</u> PMID: <u>28135148</u> PMCID: 5455352
- 23. Ting FIL (2020) The road less traveled: serving the underserved J Clin Oncol 38(22) 2597–2598 <a href="https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.18.01925">https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.18.01925</a> PMID: 32459598
- 24. Wu TY and Lee J (2018) Promoting breast cancer awareness and screening practices for early detection in low-resource settings Eur J Breast Health 15(1) 18–25 https://doi.org/10.5152/ejbh.2018.4305
- 25. von Minckwitz G, Huang CS, and Mano MS, et al (2019) Trastuzumab emtansine for residual invasive HER2-positive breast cancer N Engl J Med 380 617-628 https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1814017
- 26. von Minckwitz G, Procter M, and de Azambuja E, *et al* (2017) Adjuvant pertuzumab and trastuzumab in early HER2-positive breast cancer N Engl J Med **377** 122–131 https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1703643 PMID: 28581356 PMCID: 5538020